1

2

4

5

7

8

10

1112

14

13

15

1617

18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

BRENT BECKER,

v.

Plaintiff,

r iaiiiiiii

CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT, CULINTARY UNION LOCAL 226,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:19-cv-01043-RFB-DJA

ORDER

Presently before the Court is Plaintiff Counsel's Motion to Withdraw (ECF No. 56) and Motion to Stay the Case (ECF No. 57), filed on June 23, 2020.

Pursuant to Local Rule ("LR") IA 11-6, "[n]o attorney may withdraw after appearing in a case except by leave of Court after notice has been served on the affected client and opposing counsel." See LR IA 11-6(b). Having reviewed the motion, the Court finds that requirements of LR IA 11-6(b) have been met. Trevor Hatfield indicates that he has a purely impersonal conflict regarding strategy of the case with Plaintiff necessitating the withdrawal. The Court will require that Plaintiff Brent Becker to advise the Court if he will retain new counsel or proceed pro se by July 16, 2020. Filing a notice of new counsel or intent to proceed pro se on or before July 16, 2020 is sufficient to comply with the Court's order. Failure to respond may result in a recommendation to the United States District Judge assigned to this case that dispositive sanctions be issued, including dismissal of this action.

Along with Plaintiff Counsel's request to withdraw, he improperly includes a request to extend deadlines or stay the case for a reasonable time or 60 days for Plaintiff to obtain new counsel. He does not indicate that he has discussed this request with Plaintiff. Further, he acknowledges that such a request violates LR IA 11-6(d)-(e), which expressly prohibits

Case 2:19-cv-01043-RFB-DJA Document 58 Filed 06/25/20 Page 2 of 2

27

28